

REMARKS

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner stated,

Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 4-7 and 21-24 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed Amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Applicant has canceled claims 1, 8 and 17-20 without prejudice to their being prosecuted in a Continuation Application.


Applicant has amended all of the remaining claims so that they are either directly or indirectly **dependent on the allowable claims 4 and 5.**

Therefore, Applicant requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw all rejections and objections, and to find the application to be in **condition for allowance with all of claims 2-7, 9-16 and 21-26**; however, if for any reason the Examiner feels that the application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to **call the undersigned attorney** to discuss any unresolved issues and to expedite the disposition of the application.

SECOND AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/807,938

Applicant encloses herewith a check in the amount of \$290.00 for the multiple dependent claim fee.

Respectfully submitted,



John H. Mion
Registration No. 18,879

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
(202) 663-7901

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: September 9, 2004